

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/739,228	Applicant(s) LAMB ET AL.	
	Examiner USMAAN SAEED	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:

- (1) Usmaan Saeed (PTO).
- (2) David W. Victor Reg No. 39,867.

Date of Interview: 3 December 2009

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____.
- (4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
37-38 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/U. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about the potential amendment in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the examiner's proposal and gave authorization for examiner's amendment. See attached email from applicant with examiner's proposed claim amendments.